to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 845. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 846. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 847. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 848. Mr. TILLIS (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, and Mr. TOOMEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 849. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 850. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 851. Mr. TILLIS (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, and Mr. TOOMEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 852. Mrs. BLACKBURN (for herself, Mr. HAGBRTY, and Mr. BRAUN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

\$A 853. Ms. CORTEZ MASTO (for herself and Mr. CRAMER) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 854. Ms. ROSEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 855. Ms. ROSEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 856. Ms. ROSEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 857. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

\$A 858. Mr. YOUNG submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 859. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. PORTMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 860. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 861. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 862. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 863. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 864. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 865. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her

to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

\$A 866. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 867. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

\$A 868. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 869. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 870. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 871. Mr. CRUZ proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

\$A 872. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 873. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 874. Mr. HAGERTY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra: which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 875. Mr. HOEVEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 876. Mr. HOEVEN (for himself, Mr. CRAMER, and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 877. Mr. MARSHALL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 878. Mr. LUJAN (for himself, Mr. Kelly, Mr. Heinrich, and Mr. Warnock) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 879. Mr. BARRASSO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 880. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 881. Mr. KELLY (for himself and Mr. LUJÁN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 882. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 883. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra: which was ordered to lie on the table

SA 884. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED ON FEBRUARY 5, 2021

SA 885. Mr. BURR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the

concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2021 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2022 through 2030; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 886. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 887. Mr. HOEVEN (for himself, Mr. CRAMER, and Mr. LANKFORD) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 888. Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. SANDERS) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5. supra.

SA 889. Mr. McCONNELL proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 547. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. Romney, Mr.TOOMEY, Mr. PORTMAN, and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2021 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2022 through 2030; which was ordered to lie on the table: as follows:

On page 49, line 10, strike "\$1,296,487,000,000" and insert "\$1,159,892,000,000".

SA 548. Mr. PORTMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2021 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2022 through 2030; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in title IV, add the following:

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In the Senate, it shall not be in order to consider a provision in a bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that increases the Federal income tax rate on corporations to a rate higher than the average statutory corporate tax rate in 2020 for States that are members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development in 2020 (as determined by the Joint Committee on Taxation, based on data provided by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development).

(2) POINT OF ORDER SUSTAINED.—If a point of order is made by a Senator against a provision described in paragraph (1), and the point of order is sustained by the Chair, that provision shall be stricken from the measure and may not be offered as an amendment from the floor.

(b) FORM OF THE POINT OF ORDER.—A point of order under subsection (a)(1) may be raised by a Senator as provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 644(e)).

(c) CONFERENCE REPORTS.—When the Senate is considering a conference report on, or